arXiv:1611.04758v1 [q-bio.NC] 15 Nov 2016

Structure and Dynamics of Brain Lobes Functional Networks at the Onset of Anesthesia Induced Loss of Consciousness

Eduardo C. Padovani *

Universidade de São Paulo

Abstract

Anesthetic agents are neurotropic drugs able to induce dramatic alterations in the thalamo-cortical system, promoting a drastic reduction in awareness and level of consciousness. There is experimental evidence that general anesthesia impacts large scale functional networks leading to alterations in the brain state. However, the way anesthetics affect the structure assumed by functional connectivity in different brain regions have not been reported yet. Within this context, the present study has sought to characterize the functional brain networks respective to the frontal, parietal, temporal and occipital lobes. In this experiment, electro-physiological neural activity was recorded through the use of a dense ECoG-electrode array positioned directly over the cortical surface of an old world monkey of the species Macaca fuscata. Networks were serially estimated over time at each five seconds, while the animal model was under controlled experimental conditions of an anesthetic induction process. In each one of the four cortical brain lobes, prominent alterations on distinct properties of the networks evidenced a transition in the networks architecture, which occurred within about one and a half minutes after the administration of the anesthetics. The characterization of functional brain networks performed in this study represents important experimental evidence and brings new knowledge towards the understanding of neural correlates of consciousness in terms of the structure and properties of the functional brain networks.

I. Introduction

Our understanding on how the brain works has ripened as neuroscience has evolved and consolidated. In general, the knowledge we have about the brain was slowly constructed from the integration of several discoveries and reports of many researchers, being accomplished mainly over the last 150 years. Most of the advances in neuroscience were associated with the development of new technologies and methods which provided novel information about the brain and neural elements, permitting scientists to formulate new hypotheses and theories.

In the early years of the 20^{Th} century, a German neurologist Korbinian Brodmann conducted a cornerstone research (Brodmann, 1908) which changed the view we had about the brain. Studying the cytoarchitecture organization of the cortex, he discovered that distinct anatomical areas were composed by populations of cells of different morphology and densities. This experimental evidence allowed him to make the remarkable assumption that cytoarchitecture distinctions over specific cortical regions might have functional consequences.

Since then, a lot of research has been done aimed at classifying the cortex into sets of anatomical specialized areas and understanding the working brain in terms of the activation/deactivation of those specialized regions. This approach has shown fruitful and many experiments demonstrate that different parts

*Email: eduardo.padovani@usp.br

of the cerebral manto are accounted for specialized functions and activities.

Over the last decades, the availability of recording techniques of higher accuracy and resolution, permitted precise and simultaneous recording of several brain regions and structures. Experiments involving those techniques began to reveal a novel aspect of the working brain. They have brought evidence that many distinct specialized areas functionally interact while the brain perform its activities. Based on this evidence, many neuroscientists are getting into a consensus that, in essence, specialized brain areas do not work in isolation, and regard that neural activities are accomplished by networks that involve specific interactions among several distinct anatomical areas. It is believed that the assumed networks represent the precise way how the brain processes and integrates information (Stam and Reijneveld, 2007; Sporns, 2011), and is also believed that those networks constitute a substrate accounted for the support of different dynamics and neural processes (Bassett and Bullmore, 2006). Up to now, the understanding on the way how cognitive capacities or different physiological states of the organism are translated into networks of distinct properties is not completely known, motivating research and experiments in this area. Among many relevant topics to be investigated, the present study has sought to verify the influence of general anesthesia on functional brain networks.

General anesthesia is a physiological stable and reversible state induced by drugs that is characterized by analgesia, immobility, amnesia and loss of consciousness (Schwartz et al., 2010). In addition to the undeniable importance and usefulness for clinical medicine, anesthetic agents also constitute tools of great importance for neuroscience. Once their pharmacological effects involve a drastic and fast reduction in awareness and levels of consciousness, they offer an opportunity for the study of consciousness and neural correlates of consciousness (Uhrig et al., 2014), providing possibilities for the comprehension of fundamental processes and phenomena that happen in the brain (Hameroff et al., 1998).

The characterization of the *large scale* functional brain networks during general anesthesia has already been performed and reported (Padovani, 2016a). However, once different cortical areas are functionally specialized, the effects of general anesthesia on different brain regions are not the same, and this motivates the investigation of the structure assumed by functional interactions of distinct brain regions[1]. Within this context, this study has sought to characterize the functional networks in four cortical brain lobes (frontal, parietal, temporal and occipital).

Note: *The networks presented in (Padovani, 2016a) are not "the sum" of the networks of this manuscript, once the large scale functional brain networks (Padovani, 2016a) also involve interactions established among areas of distinct brain lobes. There is experimental evidence that segregated and highly functionally integrated neural processes that involve large cortical areas and transcend brain lobes anatomical divisions occur most of the time (Padovani, 2016b).*

II. Methods

I. Concepts

Animal Model

Experimentally, an old world monkey of the species *Macaca fuscata* was used as animal model. This species of macaque has great anatomical and evolutionary similarity with humans, making them an excellent platform for the study of the human brain (Iriki and Sakura, 2008). Being the *Macaca fuscata* one of the main primates used as experimental model in neuroscience, specially among Japanese neuroscientists (Isa et al., 2009).

[1] Networks of interactions that involve cortical areas of each brain lobe, without considering interactions that involve areas of distinct brain lobes.

Anesthetic Agents

A cocktail of Ketamine and Medetomidine was used to induce anesthesia. Ketamine is a drug able to induce an anesthetic state characterized by the dissociation between the thalamocortical and limbic systems (Bergman, 1999). It acts as a non competitive antagonist of the receptor N-metil-D-asparthate (Green et al., 2011). Medetomidine (agonist alfa-2 adrenergic receptor) was combined with Ketamine to promote muscular relaxation (Young et al., 1999). The antagonist of the Medetomidine, Atipamezole, was used to trigger and promote the recovering process (Young et al., 1999).

Recording Technique

The technique used to record neural activity was the Multidimensional Recording Electrocorticogram (MDR-ECoG). This technology records neural activity using an ECoG electrode array implanted chronically at subdural space directly over the cortical surface of the monkey. According to the researchers that developed this technology, the MDR-ECoG is the most advanced and balanced technology available to record neural activity, it is able to sample neural signals at temporal resolutions higher than 1KHz, and a spatial resolution up to 3mm (Yanagawa et al., 2013; Fukushima et al., 2014).

Neural Connectivity Estimator

As a neural connectivity estimator, a method based on Granger causality (Granger, 1969) was used in order to infer statistical dependencies between the time series of the electrodes. When applied in neuroscience, Granger causality provides an indication about the information flow from one cortical area to another (Seth and Edelman, 2007; Seth, 2010).

Experimental Procedures - Summary of Steps

The modelling of functional neural activities performed in this study followed the steps:

1. Neural registers were recorded by using the MDR-ECoG technique. The matrix of ECoG electrodes continuously covered an entire brain hemisphere and parts of the medial walls.

2. Each one of the electrodes of the array was considered as a vertex of the network, and represented the cortical area in which it was positioned.

3. A neural connectivity estimator of Granger causality in the frequency domain was used to estimate values of association between the registers (time series) of the electrodes.

4. For each brain lobe, an adjacency matrix was assembled, containing the pairwise association values between the corresponding nodes of the respective brain lobe.

5. The characterization of the topology of the estimated networks was performed by some complex networks measures.

For each brain lobe, networks were estimated serially in time intervals of five seconds, in five physiological frequency bands along the experiment. For each frequency band, all the networks in the sequences were estimated through the same procedures and parameters[2]. Thus, the alterations observed between the measures of distinct networks in the sequence came only from differences on the records of neural activity.

Note: *All the experimental procedures involving the animal model and data records (Methods Sections II and III), were performed by researchers from the laboratory of adaptive intelligence at the RIKEN BRAIN SCIENCE INSTITUTE, laboratory under the supervision of PhD. Naotaka Fujii.*

[2]For each frequency band, the same threshold was used on the networks of different cortical lobes.

II. Subjects and Materials

A multi-channel ECoG electrode array (Unique Medical, Japan) was chronically implanted in the subdural space of an adult male macaque (*Macaca fuscata*). Each electrode was made of a 3 mm platinum disc, coated with insulating silicone, except for a 0.8mm diameter central area of the disc. One hundred and twenty eight ECoG electrodes with an average inter-electrode distance of 5 mm were implanted in the left brain hemisphere, continuously covering the frontal, parietal and occipital lobes. Some electrodes were also disposed in the medial frontal and parietal walls. Rectangular platinum plates were placed in the subdural space between the ECoG array and the duramater, to serve as reference electrodes. A ground electrode was placed in the epidural space, see (Nagasaka et al., 2011) for a detailed description. Electromyography (EMG) was performed at 1Khz , by a *Cerebus*^{TM} data acquisition system (Blackrock Microsystems, USA). All experimental and surgical procedures were performed according to experimental protocols (No. H24-2-203(4)) approved by the RIKEN ethics committee and the recommendations of the Weatherall report, "The use of non-human primates in research".

The database used in this study is available in public domain at (`http://neurotycho.org`), for further information see (Nagasaka et al., 2011).

III. Experimental Procedures

The monkey was seated in a proper chair with head and arms restrained. Neural activity started to be recorded with the monkey awake and with open eyes. After that, the eyes were covered with a patch to prevent visual evoked response. After about 10 minutes, a Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail (5.6mg/Kg of Ketamine + 0.01mg/Kg of Medetomidine) was injected intramuscularly to induce anesthesia. The loss of consciousness point (LOC) was set at the time when the monkey no longer responded to external stimulus (touching the nostrils or opening the hands). After established the LOC, neural activity was recorded for more 25-30 minutes. Heart rate and breathing were monitored throughout the entire experiment.

IV. Signal Processing and Granger Causality in the Frequency Domain

Data Processing

1. A reject-band `IIR-notch` filter was used to attenuate components of the signal at 50Hz.
2. The signal was down-sampled from 1KHz to 200Hz.
3. The signal was divided into windows of 1000 points (equivalent to a five-second recording of neural activity).
4. For each of the 128 time series, the trend was removed and the average was subtracted.
5. To verify the stationary condition of the time series, the tests KPSS (Kwiatkowski et al., 1992) and ADF [Augmented Dickey Fuller](Hamilton, 1989) were applied.

Libraries Used

For the computation of association values using Granger causality in the frequency domain, were used with some adaptations the following libraries: `MVGC GRANGER TOOLBOX`, developed by PhD. Anil Seth (Sussex University, UK), described in (Seth, 2010), available at `www.anilseth.com`, and the library `BSMART toolbox` (***B**rain-**S**ystem for **M**ultivariate **A**uto**R**egressive **T**imeseries* `toolbox`) described in (Cui et al., 2008) and available at `www.brain-smart.org`.

Computation of Causal Interactions

1. Model Order:

   To find the model order (number of observations to be used in the regression model), the criteria of selection of models from Akaike (AIC) and Bayes/Schwartz

(BIC) were used. Both methods returned an order of the model equal to seven.

2. Causal Interactions

   At each window of 1000 points, Granger causality in the frequency domain interactions were pair-wise computed among the time series respective to each brain lobe, by using the function `cca_pwcausal()` (`MVGC GRANGER TOOLBOX`).

3. Frequency Bands

   Granger causality interactions were calculated in five physiological frequency bands: Delta (0-4Hz), Theta (4-8Hz), Alpha (8-12Hz), Beta (13-30Hz) and Gamma (25-100Hz).

   The interaction values obtained were saved in adjacency matrices.

Graphs and Networks

1. Assemble Networks

   For each sequence of graphs respective to a frequency band, a threshold was chosen, and only the interactions with magnitude values higher this threshold were considered as edges of the graphs. The same threshold was applied on the distinct brain lobes analysed.

   - Delta (0-4Hz), threshold = 0.6
   - Theta (4-8Hz), threshold = 0.4
   - Alpha (8-12Hz), threshold = 0.3
   - Beta (13-30Hz), threshold = 0.6
   - Gamma (25-100Hz), threshold = 2.0

   As discussed in (Bullmore and Sporns, 2009; Sporns, 2011), it is possible for scientists to use different criteria in order to determine the threshold parameter. In the present study, due to experimental conditions, each sequence of networks contained graphs with distinct connectivity. Thresholds were chosen in such a way to prevent graphs with lower connectivity in each networks sequence from presenting many disconnected parts or vertices, which might introduce distortions in the analysis.

   Networks contained only vertices respective to electrodes positioned over the corresponding brain lobe analysed[3].

   The number of vertices of the networks of each brain lobe were:

   - Frontal lobe = 47 vertices
   - Parietal lobe = 23 vertices
   - Temporal lobe = 26 vertices
   - Occipital lobe = 34 vertices

   After obtaining non-weighted graphs, the directions of the edges were removed, resulting in undirected and non-weighed networks.

Analysis of the Topology

Network measures were used in order to characterize the topology and properties of the graphs.

[3] A few electrodes positioned over sulci divisions were included on both brain lobes.

III. Results

I. Measures Related to Connectivity

I.1 Average Degree

Delta 0-4Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 1: Average Degree. *Average degree vertex respective to the networks of the Delta frequency band (0-4Hz). Vertical axis average degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 1: Average Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average degree vertex of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Delta (0-4Hz).*

Delta Band (0-4Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	9.62	37.5	6.12	11.2	63.4	7.96	14.7	48.0	6.93
Parietal Lobe	3.91	5.5	2.35	6.25	16.7	4.08	7.23	20.7	4.55
Temporal Lobe	5.45	5.80	2.41	5.55	13.0	3.61	7.86	8.87	2.98
Occipital Lobe	7.68	22.0	4.69	13.9	47.4	6.89	14.3	25.5	5.05

Theta 4-8Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 2: Average Degree. *Average degree vertex respective to the networks of the Theta frequency band (4-8Hz). Vertical axis average degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 2: Average Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average degree vertex of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Theta (4-8Hz).*

Theta Band (4-8Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	14.4	60.7	7.79	22.7	106	10.3	6.7	6.7	2.59
Parietal Lobe	5.33	6.88	2.62	10.8	16.8	4.10	5.18	7.95	2.82
Temporal Lobe	6.62	6.27	2.50	10.6	10.0	3.21	5.61	2.57	1.60
Occipital Lobe	5.96	11.3	3.35	11.5	44.1	6.64	13.58	19.1	4.37

Alpha 8-12Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 3: Average Degree. *Average degree vertex respective to the networks of the Alpha frequency band (8-12Hz). Vertical axis average degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 3: Average Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average degree vertex of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Alpha (8-12Hz).*

Alpha Band (8-12Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	21.5	85.6	9.25	29.7	118	10.9	3.14	1.30	1.14
Parietal Lobe	8.10	9.57	3.09	13.8	15.0	3.87	3.30	2.46	1.57
Temporal Lobe	8.22	6.87	2.62	12.3	10.7	3.28	4.12	1.54	1.24
Occipital Lobe	6.60	7.55	2.75	11.4	28.5	5.34	12.8	19.5	4.42

Beta 13-30Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 4: Average Degree. *Average degree vertex respective to the networks of the Beta frequency band (13-30Hz). Vertical axis average degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 4: Average Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average degree vertex of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Beta (13-30Hz).*

Beta Band (13-30Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	32.9	89.3	9.45	34.1	99.2	9.96	3.29	2.48	1.57
Parietal Lobe	12.7	7.27	2.70	14.7	9.39	3.06	4.24	4.77	2.18
Temporal Lobe	11.8	5.90	2.43	12.0	4.84	2.20	4.97	2.90	1.70
Occipital Lobe	13.5	15.1	3.88	13.6	20.1	4.48	14.8	23.2	4.82

Gamma 25-100Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 5: Average Degree. *Average degree vertex respective to the networks of the Gamma frequency band (25-30Hz). Vertical axis average degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 5: Average Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average degree vertex of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Gamma (25-100Hz).*

Gamma Band (25-100Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	9.28	31.2	5.59	9.64	36.1	6.01	4.08	1.17	1.08
Parietal Lobe	5.18	24.1	4.91	7.03	31.5	5.62	3.94	2.53	1.59
Temporal Lobe	4.75	2.65	1.63	5.92	8.43	2.90	8.16	5.85	2.42
Occipital Lobe	6.47	11.9	3.43	4.37	8.65	2.94	15.2	19.4	4.40

I.2 Assortativity

Delta 0-4Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 6: Assortativity. *Assortativity respective to the networks of the Delta frequency band (0-4Hz). Vertical axis assortativity; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 6: Assortativity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the assortativity of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Delta (0-4Hz).*

Delta Band (0-4Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	-0.22	0.03	0.18	-0.23	0.01	0.12	0.06	0.01	0.12
Parietal Lobe	-0.22	0.05	0.22	-0.22	0.02	0.15	-0.09	0.03	0.17
Temporal Lobe	-0.07	0.05	0.22	-0.11	0.05	0.22	-0.01	0.03	0.17
Occipital Lobe	-0.04	0.02	0.15	-0.01	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.03	0.16

Theta 4-8Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 7: Assortativity. *Assortativity respective to the networks of the Theta frequency band (4-8Hz). Vertical axis assortativity; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 7: Assortativity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the assortativity of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Theta (4-8Hz).*

Theta Band (4-8Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	-0.24	0.02	0.15	-0.17	0.01	0.12	0.12	0.02	0.13
Parietal Lobe	-0.19	0.03	0.17	-0.17	0.02	0.13	-0.05	0.04	0.19
Temporal Lobe	-0.01	0.03	0.18	0.02	0.03	0.17	0.03	0.04	0.19
Occipital Lobe	-0.08	0.02	0.15	0.0	0.02	0.15	0.02	0.01	0.12

Alpha 8-12Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 8: Assortativity. *Assortativity respective to the networks of the Alpha frequency band (8-12Hz). Vertical axis assortativity; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 8: Assortativity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the assortativity of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Alpha (8-12Hz).*

Alpha Band (8-12Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	-0.20	0.02	0.15	-0.11	0.01	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.18
Parietal Lobe	-0.16	0.02	0.14	-0.11	0.02	0.13	-0.08	0.05	0.23
Temporal Lobe	-0.02	0.03	0.17	0.10	0.03	0.17	0.00	0.04	0.21
Occipital Lobe	-0.07	0.03	0.17	0.01	0.02	0.13	0.01	0.02	0.12

Beta 13-30Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 9: Assortativity. *Assortativity respective to the networks of the Beta frequency band (13-30Hz). Vertical axis assortativity; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 9: Assortativity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the assortativity of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Beta (13-30Hz).*

Beta Band (13-30Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	-0.07	0.01	0.10	-0.04	0.01	0.08	-0.02	0.03	0.18
Parietal Lobe	-0.07	0.03	0.17	-0.02	0.02	0.15	-0.07	0.04	0.20
Temporal Lobe	0.05	0.02	0.12	0.18	0.02	0.15	-0.03	0.05	0.22
Occipital Lobe	-0.02	0.01	0.11	0.08	0.01	0.10	-0.06	0.01	0.12

Gamma 25-100Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 10: Assortativity. *Assortativity respective to the networks of the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz). Vertical axis assortativity; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 10: Assortativity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the assortativity of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Gamma (25-100Hz).*

Gamma Band (25-100Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	-0.27	0.01	0.08	-0.26	0.01	0.09	-0.25	0.002	0.13
Parietal Lobe	-0.17	0.05	0.22	0.07	0.05	0.22	-0.06	0.04	0.20
Temporal Lobe	0.07	0.04	0.21	0.08	0.04	0.21	0.03	0.02	0.15
Occipital Lobe	0.08	0.03	0.16	0.05	0.04	0.21	0.00	0.01	0.12

II. Measures Related to Global Integration

II.1 Average Path Length

Delta 0-4Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 11: Average Path Length. *Average path length respective to the networks of the Delta frequency band (0-4Hz). Vertical axis average path length; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 11: Average Path Length. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average path length of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Delta (0-4Hz).*

Delta Band (0-4Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	2.16	0.22	0.46	2.03	0.20	0.45	1.91	0.13	0.37
Parietal Lobe	2.16	0.20	0.45	1.97	0.21	0.45	1.99	0.28	0.53
Temporal Lobe	2.16	0.14	0.38	2.25	0.25	0.5	2.06	0.21	0.45
Occipital Lobe	2.19	0.24	0.49	1.74	0.16	0.4	1.72	0.11	0.33

Theta 4-8Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 12: Average Path Length. *Average path length respective to the networks of the Theta frequency band (4-8Hz). Vertical axis average path length; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 12: Average Path Length. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average path length of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Theta (4-8Hz).*

Theta Band (4-8Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	1.83	0.13	0.37	1.57	0.13	0.36	2.60	0.28	0.47
Parietal Lobe	1.95	0.13	0.35	1.57	0.09	0.31	2.26	0.33	0.57
Temporal Lobe	2.07	0.10	0.32	1.74	0.11	0.32	2.40	0.21	0.46
Occipital Lobe	2.39	0.27	0.52	1.95	0.29	0.54	1.71	0.09	0.30

Alpha 8-12Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe*



(b) *Parietal Lobe*



(c) *Temporal Lobe*



(d) *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 13: Average Path Length. *Average path length respective to the networks of the Alpha frequency band (8-12Hz). Vertical axis average path length; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 13: Average Path Length. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average path length of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Alpha (8-12Hz).*

Alpha Band (8-12Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	1.61	0.11	0.33	1.41	0.12	0.35	2.95	0.33	0.58
Parietal Lobe	1.77	0.12	0.35	1.40	0.07	0.26	2.32	0.27	0.52
Temporal Lobe	1.95	0.10	0.31	1.64	0.12	0.34	2.43	0.24	0.49
Occipital Lobe	2.30	0.31	0.56	1.93	0.29	0.54	1.75	0.10	0.32

Beta 13-30Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 14: Average Path Length. *Average path length respective to the networks of the Beta frequency band (13-30Hz). Vertical axis average path length; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 14: Average Path Length. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average path length of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Beta (13-30Hz).*

Beta Band (13-30Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	1.29	0.07	0.26	1.26	0.07	0.27	3.13	0.42	0.65
Parietal Lobe	1.45	0.03	0.17	1.35	0.03	0.17	2.25	0.25	0.50
Temporal Lobe	1.63	0.04	0.19	1.63	0.04	0.20	2.33	0.18	0.42
Occipital Lobe	1.68	0.05	0.22	1.73	0.11	0.34	1.62	0.06	0.24

Gamma 25-100Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 15: Average Path Length. *Average path length respective to the networks of the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz). Vertical axis average path length; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 15: Average Path Length. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average path length of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Gamma (25-100Hz).*

Gamma Band (25-100Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	1.95	0.08	0.29	1.97	0.09	0.31	2.63	0.14	0.37
Parietal Lobe	2.04	0.33	0.57	1.96	0.35	0.59	2.46	0.28	0.53
Temporal Lobe	2.61	0.20	0.45	2.45	0.31	0.56	1.97	0.12	0.34
Occipital Lobe	2.36	0.28	0.53	2.82	0.51	0.71	1.60	0.05	0.22

II.2 Diameter

Delta 0-4Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 16: Diameter. *Diameter respective to the networks of the Delta frequency band (0-4Hz). Vertical axis diameter; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 16: Diameter. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the diameter of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Delta (0-4Hz).*

Delta Band (0-4Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	4.62	1.82	1.35	4.29	2.06	1.43	4.19	1.37	1.17
Parietal Lobe	4.47	1.68	1.30	4.03	1.65	1.29	4.16	1.97	1.40
Temporal Lobe	4.67	1.13	1.06	4.98	2.19	1.48	4.31	1.60	1.27
Occipital Lobe	4.84	2.20	1.48	3.97	1.53	1.24	3.65	1.01	1.00

Theta 4-8Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 17: Diameter. *Diameter respective to the networks of the Theta frequency band (4-8Hz). Vertical axis diameter; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 17: Diameter. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the diameter of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Theta (4-8Hz).*

Theta Band (4-8Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	3.69	1.29	1.14	3.11	1.61	1.27	6.31	2.27	1.51
Parietal Lobe	4.03	1.16	1.08	2.99	0.94	0.97	4.90	2.39	1.55
Temporal Lobe	4.55	0.96	0.98	3.58	1.21	1.10	5.42	1.72	1.31
Occipital Lobe	5.28	2.39	1.54	4.24	2.22	1.49	3.40	0.93	0.96

Alpha 8-12Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 18: Diameter. *Diameter respective to the networks of the Alpha frequency band (8-12Hz). Vertical axis diameter; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 18: Diameter. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the diameter of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Alpha (8-12Hz).*

Alpha Band (8-12Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	3.24	1.39	1.18	2.82	1.40	1.18	7.02	3.01	1.74
Parietal Lobe	3.65	1.20	1.10	2.60	0.84	0.91	5.02	2.09	1.44
Temporal Lobe	4.22	0.86	0.93	3.40	1.12	1.06	5.42	1.96	1.40
Occipital Lobe	5.00	2.32	1.52	4.15	2.27	1.51	3.44	1.04	1.02

Beta 13-30Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 19: Diameter. *Diameter respective to the networks of the Theta frequency band (13-30Hz). Vertical axis diameter; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 19: Diameter. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the diameter of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Beta (13-30Hz).*

Beta Band (13-30Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	2.43	0.63	0.80	2.45	0.76	0.87	7.51	3.75	1.94
Parietal Lobe	2.80	0.45	0.67	2.54	0.37	0.61	4.82	1.82	1.35
Temporal Lobe	3.34	0.46	0.68	3.27	0.55	0.74	5.08	1.61	1.27
Occipital Lobe	3.33	0.51	0.71	3.61	0.88	0.94	2.99	0.66	0.81

Gamma 25-100Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 20: Diameter. *Diameter respective to the networks of the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz). Vertical axis diameter; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 20: Diameter. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the diameter of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Gamma (25-100Hz).*

Gamma Band (25-100Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	3.81	1.32	1.15	3.93	1.44	1.20	6.09	1.66	1.29
Parietal Lobe	4.23	2.62	1.62	4.02	3.12	1.77	5.34	2.34	1.53
Temporal Lobe	6,.7	2.03	1.42	5.46	2.81	1.68	4.16	1.13	1.06
Occipital Lobe	5.24	2.47	1.57	6.57	4.43	2.11	2.98	0.63	0.79

III. Measures Related to Influence and Centrality

III.1 Average Betweenness Centrality Degree

Delta 0-4Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 21: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Average betweenness centrality degree respective to the networks of the Delta frequency band (0-4Hz). Vertical axis average betweenness centrality degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 21: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average betweenness centrality degree of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Delta (0-4Hz).*

Delta Band (0-4Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	21.6	45.4	6.73	19.8	54.1	7.35	20.0	63.4	7.96
Parietal Lobe	8.53	17.9	4.23	8.52	17.7	4.21	8.77	19.9	4.46
Temporal Lobe	11.0	21.0	4.59	12.4	3.62	5.62	12.5	27.7	5.26
Occipital Lobe	16.0	36.0	6.00	10.9	33.2	5.76	11.5	23.3	4.82

Theta 4-8Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 22: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Average betweenness centrality degree respective to the networks of the Theta frequency band (4-8Hz). Vertical axis average betweenness centrality degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 22: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average betweenness centrality degree of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Theta (4-8Hz).*

Theta Band (4-8Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	16.4	32.4	5.69	12,0	41.8	6.46	28.4	84.0	9.17
Parietal Lobe	7.76	13.6	3.69	5.75	8.79	2.96	10.8	27.4	5.23
Temporal Lobe	11.2	11.2	3.35	8.74	11.0	3.32	15.8	33.9	5.82
Occipital Lobe	18.1	42.0	6.48	13.5	47.3	6.88	11.5	19.7	4.44

Alpha 8-12Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe*

(b) *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe*

(d) *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 23: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Average betweenness centrality degree respective to the networks of the Alpha frequency band (8-12Hz). Vertical axis average betweenness centrality degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 23: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average betweenness centrality degree of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Alpha (8-12Hz).*

Alpha Band (8-12Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	13.3	37.0	6.09	9.10	52.8	7.26	20.9	145	12.1
Parietal Lobe	7.33	10.3	3.21	4.09	5.58	2.36	9.24	34.8	5.90
Temporal Lobe	11.1	13.1	3.61	7.73	14.4	3.79	13.2	48.0	6.93
Occipital Lobe	18.2	35.5	5.96	14.1	48.4	6.96	12.0	20.5	4.52

Beta 13-30Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 24: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Average betweenness centrality degree respective to the networks of the Beta frequency band (13-30Hz). Vertical axis average betweenness centrality degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 24: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average betweenness centrality degree of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Beta (13-30Hz).*

Beta Band (13-30Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	6.52	35.0	5.91	5.92	37.1	6.09	26.8	179	13.4
Parietal Lobe	4.89	3.51	1.87	3.81	3.48	1.87	9.82	23.7	4.87
Temporal Lobe	7.89	5.53	2.35	7.87	5.73	2.39	13.5	30.1	5.49
Occipital Lobe	11.1	13.0	3.60	11.9	24.5	4.95	10.0	14.6	3.82

Gamma 25-100Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 25: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Average betweenness centrality degree respective to the networks of the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz). Vertical axis average betweenness centrality degree; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 25: Average Betweenness Centrality Degree. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the average betweenness centrality degree of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Gamma (25-100Hz).*

Gamma Band 25-100Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	20.1	27.2	5.21	20.0	28.1	5.3	29.7	51.0	7.14
Parietal Lobe	6.42	21.3	4.62	7.30	25.3	5.03	12.5	26.9	5.19
Temporal Lobe	17.6	27.5	5.25	16.1	44.3	6.66	11.6	16.4	4.05
Occipital Lobe	18.9	54.5	7.38	22.0	100	10.0	9.84	13.0	3.60

Measures Related to Local Integration

III.2 Transitivity

Delta 0-4Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 26: Transitivity. *Transitivity coefficient respective to the networks of the Delta frequency band (0-4Hz). Vertical axis transitivity coefficient; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 26: Transitivity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the transitivity coefficient of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Delta (0-4Hz).*

Delta Band (0-4Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	0.34	0.02	0.16	0.37	0.03	0.19	0.54	0.02	0.12
Parietal Lobe	0.26	0.02	0.14	0.36	0.04	0.19	0.48	0.04	0.20
Temporal Lobe	0.44	0.02	0.16	0.43	0.03	0.16	0.53	0.01	0.11
Occipital Lobe	0.40	0.02	0.15	0.63	0.03	0.18	0.64	0.02	0.15

Theta 4-8Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 27: Transitivity. *Transitivity coefficient respective to the networks of the Theta frequency band (4-8Hz). Vertical axis transitivity coefficient; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 27: Transitivity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the transitivity coefficient of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Theta (4-8Hz).*

Theta Band (4-8Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	0.44	0.03	0.17	0.62	0.03	0.19	0.47	0.01	0.07
Parietal Lobe	0.38	0.02	0.15	0.59	0.03	0.16	0.43	0.02	0.15
Temporal Lobe	0.50	0.02	0.13	0.63	0.01	0.11	0.50	0.01	0.10
Occipital Lobe	0.34	0.02	0.13	0.56	0.03	0.18	0.58	0.01	0.10

Alpha 8-12Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 28: Transitivity. *Transitivity coefficient respective to the networks of the Alpha frequency band (8-12Hz). Vertical axis transitivity coefficient; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 28: Transitivity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the transitivity coefficient of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Alpha (8-12Hz).*

Alpha Band (8-12Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	0.58	0.03	0.18	0.75	0.03	0.17	0.40	0.01	0.09
Parietal Lobe	0.52	0.02	0.14	0.72	0.02	0.13	0.36	0.02	0.15
Temporal Lobe	0.56	0.01	0.12	0.71	0.01	0.09	0.46	0.01	0.11
Occipital Lobe	0.39	0.01	0.10	0.54	0.02	0.15	0.55	0.01	0.10

Beta 13-30Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 29: Transitivity. *Transitivity coefficient respective to the networks of the Beta frequency band (13-30Hz). Vertical axis transitivity coefficient; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 29: Transitivity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the transitivity coefficient of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Beta (13-30Hz).*

Beta Band (13-30Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	0.81	0.02	0.14	0.83	0.02	0.16	0.29	0.01	0.09
Parietal Lobe	0.70	0.01	0.09	0.77	0.01	0.10	0.38	0.02	0.15
Temporal Lobe	0.68	0.0	0.07	0.72	0.0	0.06	0.44	0.02	0.12
Occipital Lobe	0.58	0.01	0.09	0.61	0.01	0.11	0.56	0.01	0.11

Gamma 25-100Hz



(a) *Frontal Lobe* **(b)** *Parietal Lobe*

(c) *Temporal Lobe* **(d)** *Occipital Lobe*

Figure 30: Transitivity. *Transitivity coefficient respective to the networks of the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz). Vertical axis transitivity coefficient; Horizontal axis time (minutes). At t=11 minutes, the monkey was blindfolded, the first red line in each sub-figure represents the moment when a patch was placed over the eyes. At t=23 minutes the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail was injected, being represented by the second red line. The point of loss of consciousness (LOC) was registered at t=33 minutes, and is indicated by the third red line. Sub-figures: (**a**) Frontal Lobe; (**b**) Parietal Lobe; (**c**) Temporal Lobe; (**d**) Occipital Lobe.*

Table 30: Transitivity. *Mean, variance (Var), and standard deviation (SD) of the transitivity coefficient of the networks respective to each one of the four cortical lobes, on the three different conditions in which the monkey was exposed during the experiment: awake with eyes open, awake with eyes closed and anesthesia (eyes closed). Frequency band Gamma (25-100Hz).*

Gamma Band (25-100Hz)	Eyes Open			Eyes Closed			Anesthesia		
Corresponding Graph	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD	Mean	Var	SD
Frontal Lobe	0.36	0.03	0.16	0.38	0.03	0.17	0.25	0.01	0.08
Parietal Lobe	0.36	0.04	0.21	0.47	0.04	0.19	0.37	0.02	0.12
Temporal Lobe	0.44	0.01	0.10	0.49	0.01	0.11	0.55	0.01	0.08
Occipital Lobe	0.40	0.01	0.12	0.32	0.02	0.12	0.57	0.01	0.10

IV. Discussion

In this research, the administration of the anesthetics has led to alterations on distinct properties of functional brain networks on the four brain lobes and on the five frequency bands analyzed. Those results constitute experimental evidence that reveal distinctions in the way how functional interactions are established among cortical areas inside each brain lobe (frontal, parietal, temporal and occipital), during awake and general anesthesia conditions.

Within less than two minutes after the administration of the anesthetic cocktail, expressive changes were concomitantly observed on several networks properties. The way how these alterations occurred strongly suggests the occurrence of a phase transition, in which the functional brain networks changed and assumed a distinct architecture. The fact that the changes were observed quite after the injection of the anesthetics indicates with a high degree of confidence that those alterations were related to the pharmacological effects of the anesthetics on the animal model, which involved an expressive reduction in awareness and level of consciousness. As it is believed that functional brain networks constitute a substrate that underlies different types of physical dynamics and emergent properties (Bassett and Bullmore, 2006), alterations on many networks properties at the onset of the transition to unconsciousness suggest that the structure of the functional networks assumed during general anesthesia no more supported neural processes/activities necessary for awareness and conscious experiences. Thus, the results of this research indicate potential links between structural properties of brain functional networks to neural correlates of consciousness.

I. Average Degree

Prominent alterations on the average degree of the networks vertices were observed during the experiment (see Figs. 1-5 ; Tables 1-5). The average degree of networks respective to distinct brain lobes presented different and characteristic dynamic behavior in response to the experimental conditions (see Figs. 1-5 ; Tables 1-5).

On lower frequency bands (0-4 Hz), the anesthetics induced an increase in the average degree on the frontal, parietal and temporal lobes (compare 0-20 min to 23 -33 min on Fig. 1 ⌈a, b, c⌉ ; Table 1). In the same brain regions, after established LOC, the average degree decreased and also presented a smaller variation (see Fig. 1 ⌈a, b, c⌉).

In the experiment, the most remarkable alterations in the average degree of the networks vertices were observed on medium frequencies (4-30Hz). In Theta, Alpha and Beta frequency bands, the anesthetics promoted an expressive reduction in the functional connectivity on the frontal, parietal and temporal lobes (see Figs. 2,3,4 ⌈a, b, c⌉ ; Tables 2,3,4). A different response to the administration of the drugs cocktail occurred at the occipital lobe where the mean connectivity substantially increased (see Figs. 2,3,4 ⌈d⌉).

In the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz), the anesthetic induction led to a decrease on the average degree and on its variation over time on the frontal and parietal lobes (see Fig. 5 ⌈a, b⌉ ; Table 5). In the same frequency band, an increase on the connectivity of the networks was verified after the drug injection on the occipital and temporal lobes (see Fig. 5 ⌈c, d⌉ ; Table 5).

The average degree reflects the average number of connections of the networks vertices (Rubinov and Sporns, 2010) and brings information related to the graphs global connectivity. Thus, this network measure indicates how interactive the elements of the system are. Regarding the functional brain networks of the present study, this property provides an estimative of the functional connectivity on the respective brain lobe and frequency band.

The results obtained in this research revealed that the administration of the anesthetics, led to an expressive reduction in the average degree on networks of the frontal, parietal and temporal lobes (Theta, Alpha, and Beta bands) (see Figs. 2,3,4 ; Table 2,3,4). From

this experimental evidence, it is verified that the Ketamine-Medetomidine anesthetic induction led to a drastic reduction on the functional connectivity in frontal, parietal and temporal areas.

II. Assortativity

Expressive alterations on the assortativity were observed during the experiment (see Figs. 6-10; Tables 6-10). The networks of distinct brain lobes presented characteristic assortativity; and the distinct controlled experimental conditions in which the animal model was exposed during the experiment induced different behavior on this network property.

The most remarkable alterations on the networks assortativity occurred on the frontal lobe. During awake conditions (eyes open and closed) on the frequency bands Delta, Theta, Alpha and Beta, the functional networks of the frontal lobe were disassortative. Within less than two minutes after the administration of the anesthetics, a clear transition on this network structural property was verified, the networks once disassortative turned to be assortative[4] (see Fig 6-9 ⌈a⌉ ; Tables 6-9).

In awake conditions, between (13-30Hz), most of the networks of the temporal and occipital lobes were assortative , after the administration of the Ketamine-Medetomidine cocktail, most of the time, the networks prevailed disassortative (see Fig 9 ⌈c, d⌉ ; Table 9).

The assortativity (Boccaletti et al., 2006) is related to the existence of preferential attachment between networks vertices with respect to their connectivity degree (Boccaletti et al., 2006). Alterations in the assortative character reveal structural changes on the graphs, in the way in which the connections are established the among the nodes. As reported by Costa, the assortativity character may have a great influence on the dynamic processes supported by the system (di Bernardo et al., 2005; Brede and Sinha, 2005; Costa et al., 2007). From this observation it is possible to induce a supposition that the expressive and consistent alterations observed on the frontal lobe (see Fig 6-9 ⌈a⌉ ; Tables 6-9), may have impacted the networks in such a way that they no longer supported certain types of neural processes and functional dynamics necessary for awareness and conscious experiences. Thus, these experimental findings indicate potential associations between the assortativity of the functional networks of the frontal lobe to neural correlates of consciousness.

III. Average Path Length

Clear alterations on the average path length of the networks were observed within about one and a half minutes after the administration of the anesthetics (see Figs.11-15 ; Tables 11-15).

The most remarkable alterations due to the anesthetic induction were verified on the frontal, parietal and temporal lobes. In the frequency bands Theta, Alpha and Beta a substantial increase in the average path length occurred (see Figs. 12-14 ⌈a, b, c⌉, Tables 12-14). The networks of the occipital lobe presented a quite different response to the same experimental conditions, once during general anesthesia (mainly after established LOC), a tendency of the networks to present shorter geodesic paths was verified (see Figs. 12-14 ⌈d⌉).

On the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz) the administration of the anesthetics led to a decrease on the average path length on the temporal and occipital lobes networks (see Fig. 15 ⌈c, d⌉ ; Table 15).

According to Latora and Marchiori, the capacity and global efficiency of a network in transmitting information is directly related to the average of its minimum paths, being the most efficient, those networks which have the shortest paths (Latora and Marchiori, 2001). The experimental results of this research demonstrate that the administration of the anesthetics led to a substantial increase in the average path length on the frontal parietal and temporal lobes. Thus, the results indicate

[4]Networks were assortative most of the time prevailing this character, there were also some instants that networks were dissassortative (see Figs. 6-9 ⌈a⌉).

that on these brain lobes the capacity and efficiency of transmission information is reduced during general anesthesia.

IV. Diameter

In the experiment, alterations on the diameter were observed in response to the different experimental conditions in which the animal model was exposed (see Figs. 16-20 ; Tables 16-20).

In the frequency bands Delta, Theta, Alpha and Beta, the diameter of the networks of the frontal parietal and temporal lobes increased after the administration of the anesthetics (see Figs. 16-19 ⌈a, b, c⌉, Tables 16-19). A different phenomenon occurred in the occipital lobe, where the diameter decreased during general anesthesia (see Figs. 16-19 ⌈d⌉, Tables 16-19).

In the Gamma frequency band (25-100Hz) the diameter of the networks respective to the temporal and occipital lobes were shorter during general anesthesia (see Figs. 16-19 ⌈c, d⌉, Tables 16-19).

The diameter of a network (Costa et al., 2007) as being related only to the larger geodesic path of the graph, is a measure less informative than the average path length, once the latter takes into account all the minimum paths of the network. The diameter reflects the length of the largest minimum path, representing the largest distance existent on the network[5]. In the present study, the main alteration was an increase in the length of the diameter of the networks of the frontal parietal and temporal lobes on Delta, Theta, Alpha and Beta frequency bands (see Figs. 16-19 ⌈a, b, c⌉, Tables 16-19). Such result supports the conclusions obtained analyzing the average path length of the networks, that during general anesthesia, the global transmission of information is reduced in the frontal parietal and temporal lobes.

[5]Assuming that the graph is connected.

V. Average Betweenness Centrality Degree

Alterations on the vertices mean betweenness centrality degree were observed during the experiment on all frequency bands and brain lobes analyzed (see Figs. 21-25 ; Tables 21-25).

The most remarkable changes occurred on the frontal parietal and temporal lobes; on Alpha and Beta frequency bands, the administration of the anesthetics led to a substantial increase and also a considerable higher variation on the vertices average betweenness centrality degree (see Figs. 23, 24 ⌈a, b, c⌉ ; Tables 23, 24).

VI. Transitivity

In this study, the administration of the anesthetics has led to alterations on the transitivity coefficient of the networks on the distinct brain lobes and frequency bands analyzed (see Figs. 26-30 ; Tables 26-30).

The most prominent changes occurred on the frontal, parietal and temporal lobes on Alpha and Beta frequency bands. In these brain regions, within less than two minutes after the administration of the anesthetics, the transitivity coefficient of the networks substantially decreased (see Figs. 28, 29 ⌈a, b, c⌉ ; Tables 28, 29).

According to Latora and Marchiori, the local efficiency of transmission of information of a network is directly related to its transitivity coefficient, the larger the coefficient, the greater the local efficiency of the network (Latora and Marchiori, 2003). The results obtained in this study reveal that the administration of the anesthetics led to a reduction on the transitivity coefficient (see Figs. 28, 29 ⌈a, b, c⌉ ; Tables 28, 29). Such decrease observed on the frontal parietal and temporal lobes, indicates that during the induced state of anesthesia, the efficiency of transmission of information is reduced in these cortical lobes.

V. Conclusions

The experimental results of this research revealed that the anesthetic agents altered the functional brain networks. Concomitant alterations on distinct networks properties observed within less than two minutes after the administration of the drugs, strongly indicate that the loss of consciousness experienced by the animal model during the experiment was associated with a phase transition on the networks architecture. Such results also corroborate with the supposition that awareness and conscious experiences may be dependent on functional brain networks specifically structured .

Networks of the four brain lobes, on the five frequency bands analyzed had characteristic properties; and each lobe also presented a distinct response due to the administration of the anesthetics. This observation reveals that, at local levels, in distinct anatomical areas, functional brain networks are structured specifically.

It was observed that functional neural activities are dynamic, as, considerable changes were verified on the networks measures in short time intervals. A dynamic nature was verified in both awake (eyes open/closed) and general anesthesia conditions. Those results also reveal that the anesthetic induction far from "shutting down" brain activity, led the brain into a specific complex and dynamic state.

Regarding the networks measures, the most remarkable results were: An accentuated decrease in the average degree on frontal, parietal and temporal lobes [6] which indicates that the anesthetic agents compromised significantly the functional connectivity in these anatomical areas; A prominent change in the assortativity character of the functional networks of the frontal lobe that changed from disassortative (awake) to assortative (general anesthesia). This experimental evidence potentially indicates the assortativity character of the frontal lobe functional networks as a neural correlate of consciousness.

[6] On frequency bands Theta, Alpha and Beta.

Authors Contribution

All the experimental procedures involving the animal model and data records (Methods Sections II and III), were idealized and performed by researchers from the laboratory of adaptive intelligence at the RIKEN BRAIN SCIENCE INSTITUTE, laboratory the under supervision of PhD. Naotaka Fujii.

Eduardo C. Padovani worked with neural records database (Methods Section IV and V) . Idealized and performed the procedures, analysed the results, and wrote the manuscript.

Finantial Support

This research was partially financed by CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior).

During part of the development of this study, the author used the structure of the Laboratory Vision-eScience at Universidade de São Paulo, laboratory supported by FAPESP grant 2011/50761-2, CNPq, CAPES, NAP-eScience, PRP and USP.

References

Danielle Smith Bassett and ED Bullmore. Small-world brain networks. *The neuroscientist*, 12 (6):512–523, 2006.

Stewart A Bergman. Ketamine: review of its pharmacology and its use in pediatric anesthesia. *Anesthesia progress*, 46(1):10, 1999.

Stefano Boccaletti, Vito Latora, Yamir Moreno, Martin Chavez, and D-U Hwang. Complex networks: Structure and dynamics. *Physics reports*, 424(4):175–308, 2006.

Markus Brede and Sitabhra Sinha. Assortative mixing by degree makes a network more unstable. *arXiv preprint cond-mat/0507710*, 2005.

Korbinian Brodmann. *Beiträge zur histologischen Lokalisation der Grosshirnrinde*. 1908.

Ed Bullmore and Olaf Sporns. Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of structural and functional systems. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(3):186–198, 2009.

L da F Costa, Francisco A Rodrigues, Gonzalo Travieso, and Paulino Ribeiro Villas Boas. Characterization of complex networks: A survey of measurements. *Advances in Physics*, 56(1):167–242, 2007.

Jie Cui, Lei Xu, Steven L Bressler, Mingzhou Ding, and Hualou Liang. Bsmart: a matlab/c toolbox for analysis of multichannel neural time series. *Neural Networks*, 21(8):1094–1104, 2008.

Mario di Bernardo, Franco Garofalo, and Francesco Sorrentino. Synchronization of degree correlated physical networks. *arXiv preprint cond-mat/0506236*, 2005.

Makoto Fukushima, Richard C Saunders, Matthew Mullarkey, Alexandra M Doyle, Mortimer Mishkin, and Naotaka Fujii. An electrocorticographic electrode array for simultaneous recording from medial, lateral, and intrasulcal surface of the cortex in macaque monkeys. *Journal of neuroscience methods*, 233:155–165, 2014.

Clive WJ Granger. Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pages 424–438, 1969.

Steven M Green, Mark G Roback, Robert M Kennedy, and Baruch Krauss. Clinical practice guideline for emergency department ketamine dissociative sedation: 2011 update. *Annals of emergency medicine*, 57(5):449–461, 2011.

Stuart R Hameroff, Alfred W Kaszniak, and Alwyn Scott. *Toward a science of consciousness II: The second Tucson discussions and debates*, volume 2. Mit Press, 1998.

James D Hamilton. A new approach to the economic analysis of nonstationary time series and the business cycle. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pages 357–384, 1989.

Atsushi Iriki and Osamu Sakura. The neuroscience of primate intellectual evolution: natural selection and passive and intentional niche construction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363 (1500):2229–2241, 2008.

Tadashi Isa, Itaru Yamane, Miya Hamai, and Haruhisa Inagaki. Japanese macaques as laboratory animals. *Experimental Animals*, 58(5): 451–457, 2009.

Denis Kwiatkowski, Peter CB Phillips, Peter Schmidt, and Yongcheol Shin. Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root: How sure are we that economic time series have a unit root? *Journal of econometrics*, 54(1):159–178, 1992.

Vito Latora and Massimo Marchiori. Efficient behavior of small-world networks. *Physical review letters*, 87(19):198701, 2001.

Vito Latora and Massimo Marchiori. Economic small-world behavior in weighted networks. *The European Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems*, 32(2):249–263, 2003.

Yasuo Nagasaka, Kentaro Shimoda, and Naotaka Fujii. Multidimensional recording (mdr) and data sharing: an ecological open research and educational platform for neuroscience. *PloS one*, 6(7):e22561, 2011.

Eduardo C Padovani. Characterization of large scale functional brain networks during ketamine-medetomidine anesthetic induction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.00002*, 2016a.

Eduardo C Padovani. Characterization of the community structure of large scale functional brain networks during ketamine-medetomidine anesthetic induction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.04719*, 2016b.

Mikail Rubinov and Olaf Sporns. Complex network measures of brain connectivity: uses

and interpretations. *Neuroimage*, 52(3):1059–1069, 2010.

Robert S Schwartz, Emery N Brown, Ralph Lydic, and Nicholas D Schiff. General anesthesia, sleep, and coma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363(27):2638–2650, 2010.

Anil K Seth. A matlab toolbox for granger causal connectivity analysis. *Journal of neuroscience methods*, 186(2):262–273, 2010.

Anil K Seth and Gerald M Edelman. Distinguishing causal interactions in neural populations. *Neural computation*, 19(4):910–933, 2007.

Olaf Sporns. *Networks of the Brain*. MIT press, 2011.

Cornelis J Stam and Jaap C Reijneveld. Graph theoretical analysis of complex networks in the brain. *Nonlinear biomedical physics*, 1(1):3, 2007.

L Uhrig, S Dehaene, and B Jarraya. Cerebral mechanisms of general anesthesia. In *Annales francaises d'anesthesie et de reanimation*, volume 33, pages 72–82. Elsevier, 2014.

Toru Yanagawa, Zenas C Chao, Naomi Hasegawa, and Naotaka Fujii. Large-scale information flow in conscious and unconscious states: an ecog study in monkeys. *PloS one*, 8(11):e80845, 2013.

SS Young, AM Schilling, S Skeans, and G Ritacco. Short duration anaesthesia with medetomidine and ketamine in cynomolgus monkeys. *Laboratory animals*, 33(2):162–168, 1999.